



THE UNIVERSITY *of* EDINBURGH

Edinburgh Research Explorer

CT and Clinical Predictors of Fatigue at One Month after Stroke

Citation for published version:

Kutlubaevev, MA, Shenkin, SD, Farrall, AJ, Duncan, FH, Lewis, SJ, Greig, CA, Dennis, MS, Wardlaw, JM, MacLulich, AMJ & Mead, GE 2013, 'CT and Clinical Predictors of Fatigue at One Month after Stroke', *Cerebrovascular diseases extra*, vol. 3, no. 1, pp. 26-34. <https://doi.org/10.1159/000347113>

Digital Object Identifier (DOI):

[10.1159/000347113](https://doi.org/10.1159/000347113)

Link:

[Link to publication record in Edinburgh Research Explorer](#)

Document Version:

Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Published In:

Cerebrovascular diseases extra

Publisher Rights Statement:

This is an Open Access article licensed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 3.0 Unported license (CC BY-NC) (www.karger.com/OA-license), applicable to the online version of the article only. Distribution permitted for non-commercial purposes only.

General rights

Copyright for the publications made accessible via the Edinburgh Research Explorer is retained by the author(s) and / or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing these publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

Take down policy

The University of Edinburgh has made every reasonable effort to ensure that Edinburgh Research Explorer content complies with UK legislation. If you believe that the public display of this file breaches copyright please contact openaccess@ed.ac.uk providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.



Original Paper

CT and Clinical Predictors of Fatigue at One Month after Stroke

Mansur A. Kutlubaev^{a, b} Susan D. Shenkin^{b, c} Andrew J. Farrall^b
Fiona H. Duncan^a Susan J. Lewis^a Carolyn A. Greig^a Martin S. Dennis^b
Joanna M. Wardlaw^{b, c} Alasdair M.J. MacLulich^{a, c} Gillian E. Mead^{a, d}

^aGeriatric Medicine, ^bClinical Neurosciences, ^cCentre for Cognitive Ageing and Cognitive Epidemiology, and ^dCentre for Clinical Brain Sciences, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, UK

Key Words

Stroke recovery · Fatigue · CT · White matter disease · Atrophy

Abstract

Background: Fatigue is a common and distressing consequence of stroke, and the aetiology of post-stroke fatigue (PSF) is poorly understood. It is unclear whether chronic brain changes [cerebral atrophy and white matter lesions (WML)], stroke lesion location or certain clinical features are related to its development. The aim of this study was to identify, in patients with acute stroke, whether features in different brain regions on routine CT imaging or routinely collected clinical features predicted PSF at 1 month. **Methods:** In total, 107 patients (62% male) with acute ischaemic or haemorrhagic stroke were assessed for fatigue (Fatigue Assessment Scale), anxiety and depression (Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale) at 1 month. Admission brain CT was rated using a structured scoring system for (i) severity of atrophy and (ii) severity of WML in different regions of the brain, and (iii) site of acute and previous vascular lesions. **Results:** Cerebral atrophy of mild or greater severity was present in 84 patients (77.5%) and WML of mild or greater severity was present in 54 patients (50.5%) in at least one of the evaluated brain regions. There was no association between PSF and severity of atrophy or WML, or presence of acute or previous vascular lesions. We used the Oxfordshire Community Stroke Project (OCSP) classification to explore the possible influence of lesion location because a minority of the patients (37.4%) had visible acute lesions. Fatigue scores were higher in patients with clinically diagnosed posterior strokes ($p = 0.046$), in females ($p = 0.05$) and in those with higher depression and anxiety scores ($p = 0.52$; $p < 0.001$ and $p = 0.49$; $p < 0.001$, respectively). Structural CT variables were not significant predictors of fatigue (log FAS) in a linear

Dr. Susan D. Shenkin
Geriatric Medicine
Royal Infirmary of Edinburgh
Edinburgh EH16 4TJ (UK)
E-Mail Susan.Shenkin@ed.ac.uk

regression which controlled for age, sex, pre-stroke fatigue, OSCP classification, depression and anxiety. The significant predictors of fatigue were depression ($\beta = 0.30$; $p = 0.007$) and anxiety ($\beta = 0.28$; $p = 0.013$; adjusted $R^2 = 0.254$). Stroke subtype (according to the OSCP classification) was marginally predictive ($\beta = 0.17$; $p = 0.05$) and sex was not statistically significant ($\beta = 0.15$; $p = 0.08$). **Conclusions:** Features on routine post-stroke CT do not appear to associate with fatigue at 1 month. However, clinically diagnosed posterior strokes as well as female gender, anxiety and depression may be linked with fatigue. Therefore, clinical vigilance rather than CT features should be used to predict fatigue early after stroke. Further research is needed in this area to establish whether biological mechanisms underlie the development of PSF.

Copyright © 2013 S. Karger AG, Basel

Introduction

Fatigue is a common and distressing consequence of stroke [1, 2]. Its aetiology is poorly understood [1]. Fatigue starts early after stroke [3] and is more common in patients with minor stroke than transient ischaemic attack, indicating that the stroke lesion itself may contribute to its development [4]. Some studies have reported an association between post-stroke fatigue (PSF) and stroke lesion location. Fatigue 3 months after stroke has been shown to be associated with acute infarcts in the basal ganglia detected on MRI ($n = 334$) [5], and fatigue 2 months after stroke has been demonstrated to be more common in patients with infratentorial lesions detected on CT or MRI ($n = 108$) [3]. Two studies found a relationship between fatigue and lesions in the posterior circulation territory, defined as brainstem strokes [6] or basilar artery infarctions [7]. These studies included patients relatively late after stroke (time elapsed since the stroke varied from 3 months to 12 years) and one of them included only young patients after first-ever stroke [7]. However, some studies found no association between PSF and lesion location, which could be due to the sample size, use of different neuroimaging methods (MRI or CT), broad categories for defining lesion location, different methods for assessing fatigue and different inclusion/exclusion criteria such as timing after stroke, exclusion of those with depression, etc. [1].

The presence of brain changes associated with ageing [atrophy and white matter lesions (WML)] may also contribute to the development of fatigue. Previous studies have found conflicting results. One study revealed an association between the overall burden of WML on CT and PSF but did not assess atrophy [8]. Fatigue was assessed using a postal questionnaire with a relatively low response rate, between 185 and 756 days after stroke. Another study considered both atrophy and WML, using either CT or MRI, and did not find any association with fatigue [3]. Fatigue was assessed using the Checklist Individual Strength at 2 months and 1.5 years after stroke.

Significant fatigue has been reported in over 50% of patients on admission, 59% of patients at 10 days and 92.3% of patients (95% confidence intervals 78.3–100) at 1 month after stroke [9]. This early period is a critical one for starting rehabilitation [10], and if fatigue delays rehabilitation it may worsen prognosis. There is therefore a need for studies to determine whether admission parameters – in particular changes detectable on neuroimaging – predict fatigue early after stroke and to include both chronic brain changes (atrophy and WML) and acute and chronic stroke lesions.

Although CT is not the most sensitive imaging modality for detecting acute stroke lesions or chronic brain changes, virtually all stroke patients have CT brain images performed for routine clinical care. This study is novel in analysing the relationship between PSF and (i) atrophy and (ii) WML in distinct brain regions, and (iii) acute and previous stroke lesions as detected on routine CT using a simple standardised method. These data are potentially

useful in determining predictors of PSF in routine clinical practice and may help better understand its nature.

The aim of this study was to identify, in patients with acute stroke, whether features in different brain regions on routine CT brain imaging or routinely collected clinical features predict fatigue at 1 month.

Methods

Participants

We recruited participants from a longitudinal cohort study of fatigue after ischaemic or haemorrhagic stroke (the Edinburgh Fatigue after Stroke, EFAS, study) from the Western General Hospital and Royal Infirmary of Edinburgh from July 1, 2009 to June 30, 2011. Exclusion criteria included subarachnoid haemorrhage, severe dysphasia or severe cognitive impairment that would prevent completion of the questionnaires, medical instability and no CT (MRI only). After informed consent, stroke subtype (according to the Oxfordshire Community Stroke Project classification, OCSP) [11], severity (according to the National Institute of Stroke Scale, NIHSS) and patient characteristics were obtained from medical records. Ethical approval for this substudy was obtained in May 2010.

Clinical Assessment

At recruitment, the Mini-Mental State Examination (MMSE) [12] was performed and pre-stroke fatigue assessed [13]. At 1 month, participants completed the Fatigue Assessment Scale (FAS) [14] and the Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale (HADS) [15]. The FAS is a unidimensional fatigue questionnaire consisting of five physical and five mental items each rated on a 5-point scale. Scores on the FAS can range from 10 to 50, with higher scores indicating more fatigue. It has good psychometric properties in different patient populations [14]. The FAS as a measure of fatigue is a validated scale which has been shown to be feasible to administer to patients after stroke [16].

Imaging

CT brain imaging was performed soon after admission or at the outpatient clinic on a Toshiba 4- or 16-slice scanner until March 2010, and on a 64- or 128-slice scanner thereafter. An experienced neuroradiologist (A.J.F.) rated the CT scans using a standardised pro forma, blind to all other data [17, 18]. The method recorded the following: (i) cerebral atrophy (none, mild, moderate, severe), (ii) WML (none, mild, moderate, severe), and (iii) presence and site of acute and previous stroke lesions. Central and cortical cerebral atrophy and central and subcortical WML were assessed separately for both sides of the frontal, parietal, temporal and occipital lobes and the cerebellum [19] as well as the brainstem. If patients' CT scans showed no acute stroke lesion, we included these patients in the study and rated the CT scans for atrophy and WML.

Statistics

Due to the use of short ordinal scales and skewed distribution of some data, we used non-parametric statistics. We investigated the relationship between fatigue score (FAS) and age, gender, stroke severity (NIHSS score), MMSE score, anxiety (HADS-A) and depression (HADS-D) scores, presence of pre-stroke fatigue and OSCP stroke type using Spearman's rho for continuous variables, Mann-Whitney U test (U) for binary variables and the Kruskal Wallis (KW) or χ^2 test for categorical variables. To assess the independent contributions of each variable, forward stepwise multiple regression was performed on the FAS (log transformed).

Table 1. Descriptive statistics of participants in study (n = 107)

Age, years	70.5 (62–77)
NIHSS score	2 (1–4)
MMSE score	27 (25–29)
FAS score ¹	23 (19–29)
HADS-A ¹	6 (3–9)
HADS-D ¹	5 (3–8)
Male	66 (62)
Pre-stroke fatigue	49 (45.8)
Stroke subtype (OCSF)	
TACS	4 (3.5)
PACS	47 (44)
LACS	33 (31)
POCS	23 (21.5)

Values are median (IQR) or n (%).

¹ Data collected at 1 month (others collected at baseline).

Results

A total of 161 patients (of 247 approached) were recruited to the EFAS study; of these, 37 did not attend follow-up, 8 had a non-stroke diagnosis, 8 had no CT and 1 declined consent. The remaining 107 patients (66.5% of recruited patients) were included in this substudy (descriptive data are shown in table 1 and neuroimaging parameters in table 2). There was no difference in baseline characteristics between those included or not in the substudy (data not shown).

Acute visible stroke lesions were present in 40 patients (37.4%), of whom 31 (30%) had ischaemic lesion, while 9 (8.4%) had primary intracerebral haemorrhage. Previous vascular lesions were seen in 45 patients (42%). Some cerebral atrophy of mild or greater severity was present in 84 patients (77.5%) and WML of mild or greater severity was present in 54 (50.5%) patients in at least one of the evaluated brain regions. Further, 17 patients (15.9%) had no atrophy, WML or acute lesions.

Baseline Characteristics and Fatigue

FAS scores ranged from 10 to 49. Women had higher FAS scores [median = 25; interquartile range (IQR) = 19–33] than men (median = 22.6; IQR = 18–28.25) ($U = 1,062$; $p = 0.05$). Forty-nine patients (45.8%) reported experiencing significant fatigue before stroke. FAS score was not associated with age ($\rho = -0.02$; $p = 0.80$), NIHSS score ($\rho = 0.04$; $p = 0.68$), MMSE score ($\rho = -0.005$; $p = 0.62$) or pre-stroke fatigue ($U = 1,300$; $p = 0.56$).

Mood and Fatigue

FAS score was associated with depression ($\rho = 0.52$; $p < 0.001$) and anxiety ($\rho = 0.49$; $p < 0.001$) scores on the HADS at 1 month after stroke.

Neuroimaging Features and Fatigue

There was no association between fatigue and the presence of any atrophy ($U = 837$; $p = 0.78$), WML ($U = 1,297$; $p = 0.73$), the presence or absence of an acute stroke lesion ($U = 1,247$; $p = 0.50$), the type (infarct/haemorrhage) of the acute lesion if present (KW = 0.29; $p = 0.87$) or the presence of old vascular lesions ($U = 1,223$; $p = 0.49$). There was also no relationship between the FAS score and the severity of cerebral atrophy (central and cortical) ($U = 1,297$;

Table 2. Descriptive statistics of neuroimaging at baseline (n = 107)

Location	Type	Right		Left	
		ρ	p	ρ	p
<i>Atrophy</i>					
Frontal lobe	Central	−0.106	0.276	−0.106	0.276
	Cortical	−0.127	0.192	−0.127	0.192
Parietal lobe	Central	−0.137	0.160	−0.137	0.160
	Cortical	−0.141	0.149	−0.141	0.149
Temporal lobe	Central	−0.078	0.425	−0.099	0.308
	Cortical	−0.198	0.041	−0.104	0.066
Occipital lobe	Central	−0.111	0.256	−0.111	0.256
	Cortical	−0.172	0.077	−0.180	0.065
Cerebellum	Central	0.104	0.287	0.104	0.287
	Cortical	0.136	0.161	0.101	0.298
Brainstem		0.056	0.567	−	−
<i>WML</i>					
Frontal lobe	Central	−0.027	0.786	−0.027	0.786
	Subcortical	0.05	0.608	0.05	0.608
Parietal lobe	Central	−0.036	0.710	−0.041	0.675
	Subcortical	−0.028	0.776	−0.031	0.749
Temporal lobe	Central	−0.119	0.223	−0.344	0.191
	Subcortical	−0.124	0.202	−0.097	0.319
Occipital lobe	Central	−0.092	0.346	−0.094	0.337
	Subcortical	−0.086	0.39	−0.086	0.378
Brainstem		0.08	0.411	0.08	0.411

Note that ratings of WML and atrophy are very similar (but not identical) in the right and left hemispheres. We found no WML in the cerebellum.

p = 0.73) or WML (central and subcortical) (U = 837; p = 0.78) assessed both globally and in different regions of the brain (table 3).

Stroke Characteristics and Fatigue

We used the OCSF classification [7] to further explore the possible influence of lesion location because a minority of the patients (37.4%) had visible acute lesions. Patients with posterior circulation strokes (POCS) had higher FAS scores (median = 28; IQR = 22–34) than those with lacunar (LACS; median = 24; IQR = 17–27.5) or partial (PACS) and total anterior circulation strokes (TACS; median = 23; IQR = 18–29) analysed together due to the small number of TACS (KW = 6.2; p = 0.046). There was no association between fatigue and side of lesion (POCS excluded as the majority of strokes were subtentorial; U = 1,134; p = 0.64).

Multivariate Analysis

Structural CT variables were not significant predictors of fatigue (log FAS) in a linear regression which controlled for age, sex, pre-stroke fatigue, OCSF classification, depression and anxiety. The significant predictors of fatigue were depression (β = 0.30; p = 0.007) and anxiety (β = 0.28; p = 0.013; adjusted R^2 = 0.254). Stroke subtype (according to the OCSF classification) was marginally predictive (β = 0.17; p = 0.05) and sex was not statistically significant (β = 0.15; p = 0.08).

Table 3. Correlations between FAS score and atrophy and WML severity (n = 107)

Location	Type	Right				Left			
		none	mild	mod- erate	severe	none	mild	mod- erate	severe
<i>Atrophy</i>									
Frontal lobe	Central	39	39	26	3	39	39	26	3
	Cortical	35	41	30	1	35	41	30	1
Parietal lobe	Central	42	43	23	2	42	40	23	2
	Cortical	38	45	24	0	38	45	24	0
Temporal lobe	Central	53	33	18	3	53	33	18	3
	Cortical	40	40	25	2	40	40	25	2
Occipital lobe	Central	53	36	16	2	53	36	16	2
	Cortical	50	39	18	0	50	39	18	0
Cerebellum	Central	101	2	4	0	101	2	4	0
	Cortical	101	3	3	0	101	3	3	0
Brainstem		105	2	0	0				
<i>WML</i>									
Frontal lobe	Central	71	16	20	0	71	17	20	0
	Subcortical	72	21	14	0	72	22	13	0
Parietal lobe	Central	71	16	20	0	71	17	19	0
	Subcortical	74	15	18	0	76	14	17	0
Temporal lobe	Central	95	12	0	0	104	13	0	0
	Subcortical	96	11	0	0	95	12	0	0
Occipital lobe	Central	90	17	0	0	95	12	0	0
	Subcortical	90	11	4	2	90	11	3	3
Brainstem		106	1	0	0				

Discussion

In this study of 107 patients with acute stroke, features on routine CT (acute or previous vascular lesions, atrophy or WML) did not predict fatigue 1 month after stroke. Clinically diagnosed POCS, female gender and self-reported anxiety and depression were related to PSF.

CT Features

We found no CT predictors of fatigue at 1 month after stroke. This could be explained by the low sensitivity of CT in detecting cerebral atrophy and WML in comparison to MRI as well as by the low prevalence of acute lesions on CT performed soon after acute stroke. However, the absence of an association between chronic cerebral changes and fatigue in our study does not rule out that these abnormalities could play a role later after stroke, or that they could be detected by more sensitive neuroimaging methodologies such as MRI, although these are not in routine clinical use in all centres. The lack of an association between neuroimaging features and fatigue may be a type II error. There is a potential for bias due to patients who dropped out or died before the follow-up, refused consent or underwent MRI only. However, there was no difference between subjects who were included and those who were excluded, and it is unlikely that associations within cohorts would be substantially different in those included or excluded.

Clinical Stroke Subtype

The majority of our patients did not have visible lesions on CT scans, so we used the OCSF classification as an alternative indicator of lesion location. Patients with POCS had higher fatigue scores than those with other stroke subtypes if PACS and TACS were combined. This was done due to the small number of patients with TACS as well as the similarity of the aetiology of PACS and TACS, whereas LACS and POCS may have a distinct aetiology [11]. Previous studies have shown that posterior strokes diagnosed radiologically are associated with fatigue [1, 3, 8], though prior studies which used the OCSF classification did not find a relationship between stroke type and fatigue [13, 20, 21]. Damage to the ascending reticular activating system in the brainstem (supplied by the posterior circulation) may lead to mild impairment in arousal, changes in attention and subsequent development of fatigue. This is a potential area of interest for more detailed neuroimaging studies. Disruption of serotonergic pathways in the brainstem is another potential mechanism of fatigue after stroke [1, 6].

Gender and Mood

Women after stroke had higher fatigue scores than men, confirming previous findings [22, 23]. However, it is unclear why women are more vulnerable to PSF than men. Interestingly, chronic fatigue syndrome is also more common in women than in men [24]. Some authors suggested that this could be explained by endocrine and stress-related factors [24].

Patients with higher depression and anxiety scores had higher fatigue scores. Similar results have been reported by previous studies [22, 23]. However, it is noteworthy that the existing data suggest that, whilst fatigue after stroke is associated with depression, depression does not completely account for fatigue after stroke, and underlying mechanisms of these two phenomena are probably different, as shown by different contributing factors [1, 22].

Our study has several strengths: we used routine CT performed soon after clinical presentation with stroke. If we had detected an association between CT features and fatigue at 1 month, this would have had much wider clinical applicability than predictors detected on MRI, which is not routinely performed in all cases or available in all centres. We used validated assessment methods of CT and fatigue features, and this study is novel in rating both acute and chronic stroke lesions as well as both atrophy and WML. We recruited a clinically representative sample of patients with mild-to-moderate stroke. We collected information on fatigue at 1 month after stroke, and it is established that fatigue can be detected this early and start to have an impact on performance and rehabilitation [10].

Some limitations of our study should be acknowledged. It was relatively small (107 participants); however, it was as big as some previously published ones [3]. Publishing negative studies is important in order to avoid publication bias and to ensure that all studies (both negative and positive) can be included in future meta-analyses. The majority of the patients in our cohort had a minor neurological deficit (median NIHSS score = 2). Although we did not aim to recruit patients specifically with mild stroke severity, we had to exclude patients with severe dysphasia as they would have not been able to complete the questionnaires, and it is recognised that patients with more severe strokes are less likely to give consent for research studies [25]. Thus, the results of this study are mostly applicable to patients with mild-to-moderate strokes. We used CT which is significantly less sensitive in both early identification of cerebral ischaemia and detecting WML in comparison to MRI. The finding of an association between fatigue severity and stroke type according to the OCSF classification may be a type I error due to multiple testing and due to the small numbers would be influenced by any small inaccuracy in the OCSF classification. Indeed, if TACS (n = 4) and PACS had not been combined, the result would not have been statistically significant and the OCSF stroke type would not have been included in the multivariate model.

In summary, we found that fatigue 1 month after stroke was associated with clinical variables and was not associated with neuroimaging features revealed by CT. This suggests that clinical criteria rather than CT features should be used to predict fatigue early after stroke. To establish whether PSF has a structural basis, future studies could investigate the relationship between fatigue and more sensitive neuroimaging techniques (e.g. MRI/DTI) and potential biomarkers (e.g. cortisol). Larger studies could establish whether there is a consistent relationship with stroke subtype. Longer-term follow-up would allow investigation of whether different influences are important at different time points. Establishing what biological mechanisms underlie the development of PSF is essential for the establishment of effective treatment strategies for this common and debilitating consequence of stroke.

Acknowledgements

M.A.K. was supported by a European Federation of Neurological Societies' Scientific Fellowship. The EFAS study was funded by the Chief Scientist Office of the Scottish Government (CZG/2/387). The neuroimaging substudy of the EFAS study was supported by a grant from The University of Edinburgh Centre for Cognitive Ageing and Cognitive Epidemiology, part of the cross-council Lifelong Health and Wellbeing Initiative (G0700704/84698). Funding from the BBSRC, EPSRC, ESRC and MRC is gratefully acknowledged. S.D.S., J.M.W., G.E.M. and A.M.J.M. are members of The University of Edinburgh Centre for Cognitive Ageing and Cognitive Epidemiology.

References

- 1 Kutlubaev MA, Duncan FH, Mead GE: Biological correlates of post-stroke fatigue: a systematic review. *Acta Neurol Scand* 2012;125:219–227.
- 2 Naess H, Lunde L, Brogger J: The triad of pain, fatigue and depression in ischemic stroke patients: the Bergen Stroke Study. *Cerebrovasc Dis* 2012;33:461–465.
- 3 Snaphaan L, van der Werf S, de Leeuw FE: Time course and risk factors of post-stroke fatigue: a prospective cohort study. *Eur J Neurol* 2011;18:611–617.
- 4 Winward C, Sackley C, Metha Z, Rothwell PM: A population-based study of the prevalence of fatigue after transient ischemic attack and minor stroke. *Stroke* 2009;40:757–761.
- 5 Tang WK, Chen YK, Mok V, Chu WC, Ungvari GS, Ahuja AT, Wong KS: Acute basal ganglia infarcts in poststroke fatigue: an MRI study. *J Neurol* 2010;257:178–182.
- 6 Staub F, Bogousslavsky J: Fatigue after stroke: a major but neglected issue. *Cerebrovasc Dis* 2001;12:75–81.
- 7 Naess H, Nyland HI, Thomassen L, Aarseth J, Myhr KM: Fatigue at long-term follow-up in young adults with cerebral infarction. *Cerebrovasc Dis* 2005;20:245–250.
- 8 Naess H, Lunde L, Brogger J, Waje-Andreassen U: Fatigue among stroke patients on long-term follow-up. The Bergen Stroke Study. *J Neurol Sci* 2012;312:138–141.
- 9 Duncan F, Wu S, Mead GE: Frequency and natural history of fatigue after stroke: a systematic review of longitudinal studies. *J Psychosom Res* 2012;73:18–27.
- 10 Langhorne P, Bernhardt J, Kwakkel G: Stroke rehabilitation. *Lancet* 2011;377:1693–1702.
- 11 Pittcock SJ, Meldrum D, Hardiman O, Thornton J, Brennan P, Moroney JT: The Oxfordshire Community Stroke Project classification: correlation with imaging, associated complications, and prediction of outcome in acute ischemic stroke. *J Stroke Cerebrovasc Dis* 2003;12:1–7.
- 12 Folstein MF, Folstein SE, McHugh PR: 'Mini-mental state'. A practical method for grading the cognitive state of patients for the clinician. *J Psychiatr Res* 1975;12:189–198.
- 13 Lynch J, Mead G, Greig C, Young A, Lewis S, Sharpe M: Fatigue after stroke: the development and evaluation of a case definition. *J Psychosom Res* 2007;63:539–544.
- 14 De Vries J, Michielsen H, Van Heck GL, Drent M: Measuring fatigue in sarcoidosis: the Fatigue Assessment Scale (FAS). *Br J Health Psychol* 2004;9:279–291.
- 15 Zigmond AS, Snaith RP: The Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale. *Acta Psychiatr Scand* 1983;67:361–370.
- 16 Mead G, Lynch J, Greig C, Young A, Lewis S, Sharpe M: Evaluation of fatigue scales in stroke patients. *Stroke* 2007;38:2090–2095.

- 17 Wardlaw JM, Sellar RJ: A simple practical classification of cerebral infarcts on CT and its interobserver reliability. *Am J Neuroradiol* 1994;15:1933–1939.
- 18 Brain Research Imaging Centre: Image Analysis Tools. <http://www.bric.ed.ac.uk/research/imageanalysis.html> (accessed June 4, 2012).
- 19 Van Swieten JC, Hijdra A, Koudstaal PJ, van Gijn J: Grading white matter lesions on CT and MRI: a simple scale. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry* 1990;53:1080–1083.
- 20 Carlsson GE, Moller A, Blomstrand C: Consequences of mild stroke in persons <75 years – a 1 year follow-up. *Cerebrovasc Dis* 2003;16:383–388.
- 21 Christensen D, Johnsen SP, Watt T, Harder I, Kirkevold M, Andersen G: Dimensions of poststroke fatigue: a two-year follow-up study. *Cerebrovasc Dis* 2008;26:134–141.
- 22 Mead GE, Graham C, Dorman P, Bruins SK, Lewis SC, Dennis MS, Sandercock PA; UK Collaborators of IST: Fatigue after stroke: baseline predictors and influence on survival. Analysis of data from UK patients recruited in the International Stroke Trial. *PLoS One* 2011;6:e16988.
- 23 Ingles LJ, Eskes AG, Phillips JS: Fatigue after stroke. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil* 1999;80:173–178.
- 24 Ranjith G: Epidemiology of chronic fatigue syndrome. *Occup Med (Lond)* 2005;55:13–19.
- 25 Kane I, Lindley R, Lewis S, Sandercock P; IST-3 Collaborative Group: Impact of stroke syndrome and stroke severity on the process of consent in the Third International Stroke Trial. *Cerebrovasc Dis* 2006;21:348–352.